CANDOUR

The British Views - Letter

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Volume XLVIII Number 8 August 1995

ABC of Politics

Z Z Z Z Z Z z

There are people who could sleep peacefully through an anti-aircraft barrage - this writer was one of them: Remained "dead to the world" on the top floor of a convent hostel in Westminster during the "Blitz" on London in 1941, but woke up the moment the occupant of the next cubicle spoke, exhorting me to go to the shelter in the basement. I wished she had left me asleep as there was nothing one could usefully do in defence so, oblivion was best.

Now is a different story. How long are today's sleepers on the top floor going to remain unconscious under the deafening barrage of One Worldism despite all our human voices exhorting them not to descend to a shelter, but to get up and put themselves in the battle line. Who knows? One of them might be the very marksman to hit the bull's eye if he would only go to the armoury to be issued with a weapon.

If Harold Godwinson's countrymen had been as lethargic under the actuality of invasion as our supine sleepers, he would never have defeated the Danes let alone given William of Falaise and his fortune-hunting hordes such a fright. The Saxons stood on the hill at Hastings defying what looked like overwhelming odds and if all of them had obeyed their King's behest to stand their ground come what may, it would have been the Normans who would have been lost in the lake of blood at the foot of the hill the Saxons had held all day.

We too are facing seeming overwhelming odds but, God willing, we shall not leave the hill while we live.

As James Graham, First Marquis of Montrose wrote on a window pane with a diamond:

He either fears his fate too much,
Or his deserts are small,
That puts it not unto the touch,
To win or lose it all.

Gold fell the autumn leaf
Over hill and lea
When Harold pitched his camp
By the hoar apple tree.

Gold fell the evening sky
Over land and sea
When Harold fought his last
By the hoar apple tree.

Gold was the Fighting- Man.
Royal gold was he,
That fell when Harold fell
By the hoar apple tree.

LAW AND DISORDER TODAY

Whenever there was or is a so-called Conservative Party in power in this country, we seem to hear or have heard so great a deal about law and order that one feels that they should be spelled with capital letters as if they were unique commodities dispensed by only the "best" stockists. It is not that the Conservatives enact more parliamentary bills on the subject than their opposite numbers when they are "In Power". Socialist governments are equally concerned with repealing Tory legislation and/or substituting as much or more of their own; all (in their case) in the cause of law and justice for all - well, perhaps not quite all - more for "The Workers" shall we say. Either way, there is an awful lot of law. We write that advisedly, for it is *really* awe inspiring what a new, improved, orderly world parliamentarians of all shades, colours and conditions are forever telling us they will achieve by passing laws calculated to regiment *all* lives and deaths according to the latest technological achievements, literally from sperm bank to incinerator. Perhaps not so far from the sperm in the mud as evolutionists pretend.

This legalising exercise has been the major preoccupation of politicians for so long and even more so since payment began with four hundred pounds a year - well eight months - and the right to out twist Oliver in asking for more, that one must suppose that they are motivated by some invisible authority since generations of legislators apparently never look beyond the confines of their chosen - to adapt a phrase - "House of Correction". If they had done so, and reported the results - how would they have avoided making *some* comment on the obvious and increasing chaos that obtains among the generality of those who (in this country at least) are often alluded to as the most law abiding citizens in the world. Since the dictum: "Ignorance of the law is no excuse" still holds, whilst the members of what may be termed the permanent parliament have nothing else to do but present, debate, pass or defeat bills presented by one Party or another; they must either be correcting or repealing their opponents' legislation, substituting their own, or in efforts to find occupation for idle minds, insist that some age-old vice needs to be legalised in order to give its increasingly popular performance an appearance of respectable normality. "If it is legal it can't be wrong" syndrome. Such an anomalous situation screams for recognition and remedy. However, we might say, if laws lead only to chaos, what remedy is there? There would, of course, be none, if the only Law were that which has been visited upon us in such profusion of detail for as long as most of us can now remember - but it is not. The mistake we have made, or rather, the lie that has been taught us as truth, is that law is the invention of socially responsible humanity; the crowning achievement of man evolved from primeval slime, now poised to conquer the universe. It is nothing of the kind. "Quite above our touch", as a Georgette Heyer character might say when denying acquaintanceship with some classic tome. Only the arrogance of evolutionary thought clings to the idea that "Alone we did it". But even suppose the Leakey logic could somehow be made to hold the invention by the fitter to the fittest, it would still have to explain where those little jellies in the mud secreted the will to embark on their millenia of tortuous experimentation on the way to *Homo Sapiens*. That surely must be something of a puzzle for those who spend their paleontological lives measuring the brains of baboons.

VESSEL OF GRACE

So we shall take it that the evolutionists have it wrong. In that case, the creationists have it right, and God did fashion everything out of nothing. And He saw that it was good. So there were man and woman, created by God and privileged to walk and talk with Him in an environment of irreproachable ordered beauty. Whatever happened to that natural law and order?

One attribute God has given to man that He witheld from the animals:- free will.

With all that, our first parents had not been here for five minutes - so to speak - before they did the one thing that could send them to exile for life. By one deliberate act of rebellion they shattered forever the physical, mental and spiritual perfection they had been created with; and again relatively speaking, half an hour more and their descendants had ruined the perfection of the physical world as well: their wickedness brought the Deluge down upon their heads. Ever since, nature has remained as bent as man himself. So complete was his alienation from the pristine flow of Grace that only the Originator Himself could restore it. For this, The Second Person of the Triune God became man and died on the Cross to make Himself the new Vessel of Grace for the Redemption of the Fallen Race. That is the only missing link we have to discover and we have to use our free will to do it. Without Him we shall only blunder from chaos to chaos as our history shows, never more plainly than today.

Increasingly, statute books are laden with laws designed to help us to flout the Divine Will with clear consciences. Everything from blasphemy to

FINAL CONFLICT

MUSSOLINI SPECIAL

For your copy of issue 8 send a cheque/postal order for £1.80 made payable to FINAL CONFLICT to: THE SECRETARY, BCM BOX 6358, LONDON, WC1N 3XX, ENGLAND.

Ask for our merchandise catalogue.

FREEDOM VIDEOS

Our NEW updated video list is currently in production, be the first in the queue by sending two first class stamps to, Forest House, Box 1, Liss Forest, Hampshire, GU33 7DD.

baby murder; to natural and unnatural vice is permitted if not encouraged. Immigration and race relation laws have forced what was once a homogenous, Christian country to grant legal presentation of every other form of worship however pagan or bizarre. Legalised buggery has put our cities on a par with those of "The Plain", with even more horrific side effects than we ever learned from the bible account. Remember always: "It is in ourselves that we are underlings" Lot and his family did not want to leave the "fleshpots" of Sodom. They stayed until the very last moment, and poor, luxury-loving Mrs Lot never "made it" at all, too busy regretting "The Good Life".

The unrestrained operation of usury is really the root and trunk of this dreadful tree, since love of money fertilizes the otherwise sterile ground in which it grows. Outlaw the usurers, and the legislators will with-in law themselves and the populace be free of their now trammeling strictures.

To be effective of order, national laws must parallel the Commandments of God. As did the Common Law of England before it was dishonoured by the alien power.

R. de B.

JANUS WONDERS *JUST WHO IS EXPLOITING WHOM*

The lie-spreading campaign (known as propaganda) continues on all media fronts. We are again reminded that as the pyramids were built in Africa, then the builders must have been black (negroid understood): the Africans led a happy and civilised existence until the white man came and ruined everything; starving the people by killing off all the game. The descendants of Africans taken to America as slaves should now be given "compensation" for their "holy places" which have "been built on or otherwise desecrated". As they are the only people who know where these "holy places" are situated, and more and more of them seem to be discovered as required, these simple savages seem to be on to a good thing.

As for the slave trade: the white man may do financial penance until the end of time, and still not compensate adequately (in native opinion) for his monopoly in this terrible trade! The fact is that trading is a matter that requires vendors as well as vendees. The European slavers on the west coast of Africa did not send in caravans and forces to capture the "goods" (men and women), as did the Arabs on the east coast to feed the slave markets of Zanzibar; the Europeans bought them from the African tribal chiefs who did a brisk trade in the human booty taken in raids from neighbouring tribes, and in disposing of their own undesirables. If the African chiefs had not been not just willing, but enthusiastic traders in such human merchandise, there would have been no slave trade to speak of. But that is one of the media unmentionables in any of their "studies" whether in or out of "depth".

Similar silence continues to envelope the "mystery" of Mandela and South Africa. The orchestrated indignation over events in the Republic of South Africa such as: apartheid - white police brutality - Sharpville and the like, enabled the rest of the civilised world to cut off all links with the Republic so long as it had an (alleged) separate-development-dedicated government: trade sanctions, sports sanctions, anti-white demonstrations-usually riots, in fact the whole Rent-a-Mob apparatus, nothing was lacking. The figurehead was Mandela, not far from being raised to Saint Nelson, with blessed Winnie close behind. Although she has slipped somewhat since the Stompe Moketze affair: (he died a natural death-he was murdered by white policemen-he is still alive-he never existed-are the various choices available) she is, nevertheless, still a likely candidate for world-wide honour... But, in all this - never a mention of the you-know-whos who organise the whole campaign. Slovo, for instance, the now defunct communist, Lithuanian Jew. What a coincidence!

Indonesia can invade East Timor and kill one third of its population - and it is almost a non-event as far as the world press, Amnesty International *et al* are concerned, yet Nelson Mandela, who was imprisoned instead of being executed for murderous bomb outrages, is now feted as a world leader of justice and peace, celebrated as a hero, received by royalty and republican substitutes for such... After the Nobel Peace Prize, what more could be added? unless the triple crown spurned by modernist Popes may be offered a new home...We offer the suggestion in a spirit of "keeping up with the Joneses" in the modern world.

RISING BOOKS

The History of the Legionary Movement *by Horia Sima*

The inspirational story of Corneliu Codreanu and the Iron Guard of Romania. £9.50 including post and packing (foreign orders add 10%). Please send your cheque or Postal Order, made payable to Rising Books to, Forest House, Box 2, Liss Forest, Hants. GU33 7DD.

LIBYA: THE SMEARS CONTINUE

Apart from Iraq, no other country has suffered more from smears, lies and hatred than Libya.

Ever since Col. Muammar Al Qadhafi and his followers overthrew the puppet monarchy of Sanoussi, which was nothing more than a cover for British and American multinational rule, Libya has been the number one enemy of the West (according to our rulers).

The real reasons for the West's hatred of Qadhafi is because he refuses to allow international finance to destroy the lives of the people of Libya. The West fears Libya, not because it (supposedly) funds "terrorists" but because this is the only nation where an attempt is being made to place power back into the hands of the people. Since 1977 delegates from peoples' organisations throughout the country have been controlling the destiny of Libya. The fear of those in control of western democracies is understandable, if Libya is seen to be a fair and just nation then other popular uprisings could follow and not only in the Middle-East. The idea of a State made up of Workers Co-operatives is not alien to Europe, in fact mediaeval Europe had a very similar style of power.



Perhaps the two greatest "sins" that Libya is supposed to have committed are the PAN-AM bombing over Lockerbie and the murder of WPC Yvonne Fletcher outside the Libyan People's Bureau in St. James Square, London. Yet recent new evidence has cast real doubt on Libyan involvement in either of these incidents.

On the 17th April 1984 WPC Yvonne Fletcher was murdered, everything points to the killer's bullets being fired from the Libyan Embassy. However, early in 1984 an American oil multinational opened an office on the same corner of St James Square as the Embassy. It is now known that this multinational has direct working links with the CIA, it was a somewhat bizarre decision considering that it already had an office in London, W1 and it is odd that they would need such large office space.

This office had a clear view of the whole of St James Square. The American Church Committee has already established that this multinational has acted in the past as a direct link between the CIA and the Mafia on matters of assassination. (CIA: Overseas Assassination planning-The CIA-Mafia link; Ashman J. Manor books, New York 1975).

The evidence has always been there for the British people to inspect, but due to the fact that they did not have prior knowledge of the presence of the multinational it was not apparent. The BBC film "The Libyan Siege" shows what anybody who knows about ballistics would realise: that the shots could not possibly have come from the Embassy.

The way in which anti-Libyan protesters reacted to the sound of the gunfire indicates that the gunfire did not come from the Embassy but from left of the square (No 8). Many demonstrators close to the Embassy hardly moved a muscle when the shots were fired, hardly the reaction of people who have just had a machine-gun fired behind them.

One of the most conclusive parts of the film is the fact that if all eleven people injured had indeed been hit with a machine-gun then there would have been buckets of blood everywhere, yet there was not a trace of blood on the pavement anywhere. This poses serious ballistic questions, also there was no gouge marks on the road behind WPC Fletcher. Any bullets that were actually fired from the Embassy would have gone past (or through) Fletcher into the protesting crowd.

There are dozens of points that lead us to believe that the murder was not committed by the Libyans, not least because of the fact that it would be an act of diplomatic suicide. After the Libyans were ejected from the country police "found" 9mm cartridges, which could easily have been planted. The CIA motives for the murder are not difficult to work out, it is hardly a well kept secret that they have, on many occasions, attempted to undermine Qadaffi's nation by training insurgents in Chad, all of which have failed miserably.

What better way to gain public support for the allowance of American fighter-planes to fly from British shores for the murder bombing of Tripoli, than to kill a female police officer? An action which it is well known that the British people would be up-in-arms over.

Sadly even many nationalists in Britain jumped on the bandwagon, supporting the State's dis-information campaign through its "Time to deal with terrorism" marches.

LOCKERBIE

In December 1988 the Jumbo Jet PAN-AM 103, blew up over Lockerbie, killing 259 people on board and 11 on the ground.

Yet again a tragedy for some was an opportunity for others. Once again the blame was placed at the feet of Libya.

As in the Fletcher case there are a number of questions that remain unanswered:

- **There were at least four CIA operatives on board the jet.**
- **A CIA team headed for Lockerbie within an hour of the crash and, according to one unrefuted witness, removed a suitcase from the site that belonged to one of their agents.**
- **Interpol's accusations that a rogue CIA unit in Frankfurt was attempting to make a deal for the release of US hostages in Beirut, which included protecting a Middle East heroin racket. The bomb that was in the suitcase would normally have contained contraband.**

The way in which the West is prepared to use every opportunity available to slander Libya took another turn when Britain and the USA demanded that Libya turn over two Libyans they suspected of the bombing.

A *Reuter* report in 1991 carried a statement by a British official claiming that possible military action against Libya was on the agenda when the United States and British leaders discussed what to do if Libya failed to turn over the "suspects".

There are no extradition treaties between Libya and the USA and Britain, and even if there were then certain regulations need to be met. The ultimatum made by the USA and Britain was more akin to a mafia "offer they could not refuse" than diplomatic bargaining.

Next time readers of *Candour* hear slander against Libya we hope they will keep this article in mind.

For more information on the struggle between Libya and the New World Order we suggest you write to: *New Dawn,* GPO BOX 3126FF, Melbourne, 30001, Australia

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Dear Candour

A few issues ago you mentioned taking the pornographic advertising cards out of telephone boxes in central London. I used to do this whenever using the 'phones.

However, on one occasion myself and a French-Canadian comrade were putting up Third Position stickers in London and we resolved to tear out all the offensive cards and leave our stickers so that people would know who had done the good deed!

At one tube station I started on a group of four telephones whilst my comrade went on to another "batch". At this point a man approached me, a bit angry and demanded I stop! When I refused, he pulled a knife on me; he did appear a bit of a "loon" and was obviously employed to put the cards out. I told him he was scum and the cards could easily be seen by children. He obviously didn't care. Luckily, my French-Canadian friend walked up behind him and told him - in no uncertain terms - to put the knife away, which he did and disappeared.

My point is, be a little careful when doing this sort of thing - always go in pairs. I have heard of these smut merchants putting up cards whilst people are actually using the 'phone! This is obviously a crime "tolerated" by the "law". One wonders if the tolerance would extend to cards extolling the miseries of multi-racial societies?

As a "post-script", we two advertisers had a rough time of it in London that weekend - a negro pulled a gun on us because we objected to his "pushing in" in a queue at a major tube station, and the police surrounded us in a side street believing us to be two suspects in a murder hunt! Mind you, they say these things are sent to try us!

For Christ the King,

An active Candour reader.

CANDOUR QUOTE

"They are afraid of you...Don Camillo. And they hate you. They were living warmly and comfortably in their cocoon of cowardice. They were perfectly aware of the truth, but nobody could compel them to recognise it, because nobody had proclaimed it publicly. You have acted in such a manner that they are now forced to face up to it, and it is for that reason that they hate and fear you. You see your brothers who, like sheep, are

LEGION TAPES For a full listing of our nationalist and revisionist audio tapes send an SAE to Legion Tapes, BCM 6358, London WC1N 3XX.

obeying orders of a tyrant and you cry: `Wake up out of your lethargy and look at those who are free: compare your lives with those who enjoy liberty!´ And they will not be grateful to you but will hate you... because you compel them to face up to what they already knew but for love of a quiet life pretended not to know. They have eyes, but they will not see. They have ears, but they will not hear. You have given publicity to an injustice and have placed these people in a dilemma: if you hold your tongue you condone their imposition; if you don't condone it you must speak out. It was so much easier to ignore it. Does all this surprise you?

Don Camillo spread out his arms. `No,´ he said. But it would have surprised me had I not known that you were crucified for telling people the truth. As it is it merely distresses me."

The Little World of Don Camillo - The Fear Continues

THE FIGHT TO BE FREE

by Fr. Arthur Lewis

"One half of the lies in the newspapers," my father used to say, "are not true." If he had seen the media's handling of South Africa he would have included the other half. For what has happened in that country is not what we have been told.

In 1985 the Rockefellers' Chase Manhattan Bank broke with custom and refused to "roll over" South Africa's short-term debt, demanding immediate repayment. The rest of the financial pack followed suit, while at the same time vast sums of foreign money were pumped into the African National Congress. This brutal use of financial power was a major factor in the "conversion" of Mr F.W. de Klerk - and the toppling of the old South Africa. Apartheid was not the main factor. Nor democracy.

Last year's "democratic election" was, in fact, a charade. Temporary voter identification cards were issued to non-citizens, aliens, prisoners and criminals in such numbers as to swamp the entire white vote. The count was ultimately abandoned in confusion, giving way to open political horse-trading. Judge Kriegler, chairman of the Independent Electoral Commission, summed it all up in his famous words: "You can't be in a brothel and remain chaste."

But "world opinion" is satisfied and the transfer of power from white to black accomplished. (We are not allowed to know that the main power is white, and far away.) Who cares that there are about sixty dominant communists in the new government and parliament, *not one elected on a communist party ticket?* Who cares that much of the pre-election organisation was done from the huge American "embassy" in Pretoria - a fortress rather than an embassy - and that American air-bases in neighbouring states were quite capable of imposing the will of big money anyway?

Some wrongs have, indeed, been righted. Others have taken their place. Gone are the peace and prosperity which, little more than a decade ago, stemmed from stern if unjust rule. The country now has the highest murder rate in the world - 1,500 killings a month - with crime, drugs and pornography spiralling to unprecedented levels.

The United Nations with its pitiless economic sanctions has indeed triumphed, helped on by the "liberal" churchmen. But what does the poverty of millions matter if they have votes?

CHRISTIAN COUNTER-ATTACK

But ordinary Christians in South Africa (not the exalted churchmen) are already fighting back. The near-miracle of the election was that the expected civil war did not happen. The statesmanship of Christians such as the Kenyan Professor Okumo and the white leader General Viljoen had much to do with this: as had the vast volume of prayer which went up from Christians throughout South Africa. Now the battle is on to save a predominantly Christian country from being turned into a secular state. Eighty per cent of the population is Christian.

The government, under communist influence, is determined to delete the words "in humble submission to Almighty God" from the constitution. (The British did this in Zimbabwe.) In April twenty thousand Christians demonstrated outside Parliament in Cape Town, demanding not only the retention of God in the constitution but the abandonment of the proposed state protection of "perverse sexual activity". Christianity in South Africa will not prove a pushover, though the battle will be hard and long and will have to be fought without most of the mainline church leaders.

ZIMBABWE

A cartoon in a Zimbabwe magazine shows the representative of a foreign relief organisation offering food-aid to the government. Adjacent is a politician addressing a crowd: "The government will provide you with drought relief food, but you must produce party cards."

So the right to criticise has not been entirely snuffed out.

Nevertheless President Mugabe's election victory in April was scarcely democratic. With tax-payers' money for his ZANU (PF) party, and with control of the media, he could hardly lose. The opposition was pathetically divided, and most of its candidates gave up the struggle and boycotted the election. With 53 ZANU (PF) candidates unopposed the opposition gave the ruling party a parliamentary majority before a vote was cast. The President appoints 30 members anyway!

The irony of the election was that the once-Marxist ZANU (PF), which originally sought to impose a one-party state, has now got its way under the guise of a free democracy. This satisfies the donor countries on which Mugabe depends for survival. But for the ordinary people the fight to be free is a long way from success.

ENGLAND

Here in England the Christian struggle is surprisingly like that in South Africa and Zimbabwe. For England, in spite of its National Church and Christian monarchy, is also very much a secular state. Indeed, to such depths of immorality has it sunk that condoms may be obtained from vending machines in pubs or free from doctors' surgeries!

The pagan Africans I first encountered in the then Tanganyika in 1947 were neither so pagan nor degraded as many in today's England. Promiscuous and besotted with drink they certainly were, and living in ignorance and squalor. Christianity wrought a miracle among them. Their lives, however, were scarred by nothing like the drugs, AIDS, abortion-on-demand and pornography of contemporary Britain.

Parliament here continues to forge ahead with anti-Christian and anti-family legislation. It has wrecked the traditional Christian Sunday in the cause of greed. All marriages, including Christian marriages in Church, can legally be dissolved. A third or more end in divorce, with unimaginable trauma for the children. The new divorce White Paper, to be presented as a Bill this autumn, has been fairly described as an "adulterer's charter."

Of course, this is not the whole story. A vast amount of good, unknown and unsung, is being done by ordinary Christians in all the Churches. They are not taking the paganisation of Britain lying down. One woman, Mrs Mary Whitehouse did more for public morality through her National Viewers and Listeners Association than the entire bench of bishops: and with their help could have won the day. As she was mocked and ridiculed and boycotted by the media most of them were silent.

The price of their failure has been horrendous. While the dominant "liberals" fall over themselves to accommodate the demands of secular society the Church is ignored or treated as of no consequence. Ordinary people look in vain for moral guidance.

Nor is this all.

Mr Justice Lightman, ruling for the Archbishop of Canterbury on the ordination of women, has stated: "The Church of England is established by the law of England. The doctrine of the supremacy of Parliament means that parliament may on its own legislate for the Church." Never before in the history of the Church of England has it been claimed that Parliament may alter Christian doctrine without regard to Scripture: but it is on this basis that the teaching and practice of the Church of England have been revolutionised.

Having thus disposed of the Bible, the "liberals" have hastened on to legitimise divorced clergy of both sexes, sodomy, lesbianism and the like. And now the bishops are considering ways of sanctioning remarriage in Church after divorce. And, not to be outdone, the Church's Board of Social Responsibility has come up with a report claiming that living in sin is no longer sinful!

Only the re-conversion of our countries to historic Christianity can bring "the peace of God which passes all understanding. "For it is His service which is perfect freedom.

Reprinted, in abridged form, from "A Letter to Friends" by Fr. Arthur Lewis, PO Box 430, Chingford, London E4 9SQ. Fr Lewis is also the author of the book "Too Bright the Vision?", priced at £9.49, which tells the truth about Rhodesia.

BREACH IN THE WALL OF CHURCHILLISM

by George Valentine

Revisionism has come in from the cold. A large audience flocked to Church House, Westminster to hear historian Dr John Charmley, indicting Churchill for not making peace with Hitler in 1941. Historian Charmley proposed the motion that "Churchill had sold Britain to America". He was seconded by Alan Clark, the maverick ex-Minister and author of "Barbarossa". Charmley was opposed by Lord Blake, biographer of Disraeli. The debate was organised by *The Times* newspaper and *Dillons* bookshop, at the start of July following a televised debate, three weeks before, when Charmley and Clarke had advanced the same views and attracted enormous attention. The two events indicate a rapid rise in the prestige of historical revisionism. Doubtless this is due to the work of outstanding revisionist writers such as David Irving, Richard Lamb, Clive Ponting, Tolstoy and David Hoggan, who have brought into daylight what really transpired under the disastrous rule of Winston Spencer Churchill. Charmley spoke brilliantly, exposing how Churchill had wilfully frustrated those peace negotiations which were already under way through the Swedish Minister in London. The approaches made were backed by Halifax, Butler and also George VI. Churchill himself admitted to Roosevelt that terms, favourable for the British Empire could be obtained from Hitler, in return for a free hand for Germany in continental Europe. But these were facts which Churchill wished to conceal from the British people. The Vatican also had sent a message, encouraging the peace talks. But Churchill would have none of it. Churchill could only think of the destruction of Germany which he would realise with the help of the Red Army and Roosevelt. "Give me the tools and I shall finish the job" cried Churchill. What job? The cynic in the White House was not in the business of salvaging any empire. The New Deal democrats were avid anti-imperialists and part of the world-wide network of International Socialism. Felix Frankfurter, for instance was closely linked to his co-racialist Laski in London and the last thing they wanted was to pander to the wishes of an outdated bankrupt imperialist. Held in suspense by Roosevelt Churchill was ready to grovel ever more deeply before his wealthy sugar-daddy. The first victim was General de Gaulle who had joined with Churchill after Dunkirk. Because he was obnoxious to Vichy-linked America, Churchill had no hesitation in betraying his erstwhile friend. Shockingly abused by Churchill, in a series of blistering rows de Gaulle began to realise into what a trap he had fallen. But did not Churchill often betray his friends? What happened to the Polish Government in London? What happened to the brave monarchists of Mihailovic fighting in Yugoslavia. Churchill let them be abandoned to the murderous bands of Josef Broz Tito, the representative of international communism. Finally, before D-Day,

Churchill began to experience, in his own person what it meant to be a servant to an "Overlord". The reins of power were no longer in his hands. Cherished strategic plans for a front in the Balkans were vetoed by Eisenhower who gave him a practical lesson in "special relationships". At Yalta Churchill had to endure the cruel discovery that Roosevelt aligned himself with Stalin in opposing his wishes. Neither in Germany nor in Italy did Britain play a leading role. Everywhere the former "paramount power" was now a diminishing factor, no longer supreme on land, on sea or in the air. The era of superpowers had dawned. Following Eisenhower and Secretary Foster Dulles pressure was constantly exercised on Britain to merge in Europe. The federalism of Monnet was quite different to that of Coudenhove-Kalergi where Britain still remained outside. From Kennedy to Clinton the aim has been to extinguish British independence completely. Fifty years after Churchill's victory this goal is all but realised. Now it is the jackboot of Brussels which strides across the prostrate body of Johnny Major's Britain. Subverted by separatism from within and federalism from without, this abject condition is the ultimate consequence of Churchillism. The loss of everything. That is the gist of Doctor Charmley's case.

There rose in opposition Lord Blake, whose mind had clearly been at a standstill since 1941. He really had nothing adequate to retort. He began to bluster: "You did not realise the feeling in the country, at that time, which never could have any truck with a regime so completely alien to the liberal-democratic values we all cherish". He was flabbergasted at the very thought of negotiations. But of course the noble Lord neither could explain why he welcomed all the truck we had with Russian Bolshevism. Liberal values could gladly be discarded now that Winston Churchill was Stalin's side-kick. Only let the Red Army advance and all would be well.

Alan Clark next spoke in support of the motion. He stated clearly how it was very well possible for the British Empire to come to terms with Germany and continue to play a major role in world affairs. It was not a state of vassaldom that Germany demanded of us but the continuation of British power in the world belief in ourselves was the necessity and not a subservience or interdependence such as now resulted under USA hegemony. Clarke was as strongly opposed to Bolshevist conquest as Charmley to US hegemonism. Clarke showed a greater interest in the anti-Bolshevist struggle whilst Charmley devoted himself always to the menace of rising American domination.

SERVICES RENDERED

Andrew Roberts followed next as spokesman for Churchill. Unlike Lord Blake he displayed great resource, in producing arguments to defend his idol. The British Empire was bound to decline, in any case, he said. Hence it was pointless to saddle Winston Churchill with responsibility. Unfortunately Roberts then launched into a long, tedious and perforce imaginative version of the long exploded "Holocaust" myth. He wanted to back-date it. All the technological, statistical, demographical and chronological impossibilities on which the "Holocaust" hoax depends are becoming increasingly recognised, as being worn out stereotypes of propaganda, masking the real horrors, committed by Bolshevism.

The meeting then came to an end. Since no-one saw fit to deny the Holocaust no tempers were ruffled. By a show of hands the Churchillites were still in the majority. But Charmley had gained a considerable vote for the motion. The authors now signed copies of their books, which sold in large numbers. Both sides seemed lost in admiration for each other. It was quite an anti-climax in view of the huge divergence of views which had just divided them. What a pity that Sir Martin Gilbert was not there to take part in the fun. Sir Martin Gilbert is not only the chief Churchillite historian but also a tip-top Holocaust buff. He could have handed out a Holocaust medal to Roberts for services rendered. Sir Martin Gilbert is lately appointed speech-writer to John Major. His star shines ever more brightly and I hear a rumour that shortly he will be appointed as Minister for Holocaust Affairs.

The revisionist standpoint on Churchill can best be summed up by a few words about it from Adolf Hitler:

"If fate had granted to Britain a new Pitt, instead of this Jew-ridden, half-American drunkard, the new Pitt would, at once have recognised that the traditional British policy of Balance-of-Power would now have to be applied, on a different scale and this time on a world-scale. Instead of maintaining, creating and adding fuel to European rivalries, Britain ought to do her utmost to encourage and bring about a re-unification of Europe. Allied to a united Europe, *she would still then retain the chance of being able to play the part of arbiter in world affairs.*"

After rejecting this policy of sense we should listen to Charmley's words about today:

"The British had fought two world-wars to prevent Germany from dominating Europe and they have still ended up in a German dominated Europe.

(It is a breach in the wall but it is quite small enough to be plugged with media finger tips all same the dyke in the Low Countries. Editor)

Candour has been faithfully reporting and commenting on the truth about the betraying of our race and nation for forty years and more, always dependent on the generosity of our supporters and the wills of our benefactors. Do remember us when you are making yours and leave nothing for the taxman to grasp through intestacy. Instead help to keep Candour in the front line.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £15 OVERSEAS £20 U.S.$30 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

CHEQUES AND POSTAL ORDERS MADE PAYABLE TO THE A.K. CHESTERTON TRUST

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire, GU33 7DD.
(Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle)